This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/654,857	09/05/2000	Marc Lamberton	FR9-1999-0061US1 8194		
45211	07072007			EXAMINER	
KELLY K. KORDZIK WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK PC			ZHONG, CHAD		
PO BOX 507			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX 75201			2152		

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/654,857	LAMBERTON ET AL.			
To the Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI MANUAL DATE CALL	Chad Zhong	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 J	ulv 2004 .				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the marite is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 July 2004</u> is/are: a)□	accepted or b) abjected to by th	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapprov	ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	•				
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachment(s)					
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/654,857

Art Unit: 2154

OFFICE ACTION

Page 2

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 07/06/2004.

- 2. Claims 1-15 are presented for examination. In amendment B, filed on 07/06/2004: claims 2, 4, 7, 9, 12, and 14 are amended.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

 It is not clearly indicated where [356] exists on the figures (pg 11, line 26); Appropriate correction is required. Examiner did not receive a copy of this figure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371 (c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 4, 10-13, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pistriotto et al. (hereinafter Pistriotto), US 6,138,162.
- 6. As per claim 1, Pistriotto teaches a client-server environment, a method for providing transparency in a gateway of an IP network comprising the steps of (Col. 6, lines 55-65): interrogating a directory comprising data for each end-user of said IP network (Col. 7, lines 7-20); retrieving parameters associated with said data for a first end-user in response to an access request from a client application of said first end-user (abstract; Col. 7, lines 35-47); relaying data between said client application and said application server (Col. 8, lines 19-44).

Application/Control Number: 09/654,857

Art Unit: 2154

accessing an application server on behalf of said client application in accordance with said retrieved parameters for said first end-user (Col. 8, lines 19-44).

Page 3

- 7. As per claim 2 Pistriotto teaches the step of creating, in said gateway of said IP network, a directory including entries for every end-user on said IP network (Col. 7, lines 7-21; the directory exist on the gateway, further, all user entries goes through the gateway).
- 8. As per claim 4, Pistriotto teaches wherein the step of retrieving parameters associated with said end-user for said request from said client application includes the steps of:

obtaining leading data from said client application having issued said request for said end-user (Col. 7, lines 5-20);

parsing said leading data (Col. 7, lines 5-20);

determining a protocol said client application is currently using (Col. 4, lines 1-12, lines 30-50; Claim

8);

interrogating said directory at an entry corresponding to said first end-user (Col. 7, lines 7-21); retrieving parameters associated with said request (Col. 7, lines 7-21; GET request in a protocol specific format, i.e. http, parameters would need to be in http compliant for transfer to take place); and executing said protocol in accordance with said parameters associated with said protocol (Col. 8, line 1-44; executing based on parameter obtained from previous request, all in the same protocol form).

- 9. As per claims 6 and 11, claims 6 and 11 are rejected for the same reason as the rejection to claim 1 above.
- 10. As per claims 7 and 12, claims 7 and 12 are rejected for the same reason as the rejection to claim 2 above.

'Application/Control Number: 09/654,857 Page 4

Art Unit: 2154

.

11. As per claims 9 and 14, claims 9 and 14 are rejected for the same reason as the rejection to claim 2 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 3, 8, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pistriotto et al. (hereinafter Pistriotto), US 6,138,162, in view of 'Official Notice'.
- 14. As per claim 3, Pistriotto teaches the step of updating, in said gateway of said network, the directory of said end-users, said step of updating the directory including the steps of: enabling entries for those of said end-users that connect (Col. 8, lines 15-44); and updating said entries of said end-users comprising dynamic parameters whenever said parameters are changing while connected (Col. 8, lines 15-44).
- Pistriotto does not explicitly teach
 disabling entries for those of said end-users that disconnect.

"Official Notice" is taken that the concept and advantages of providing for

disabling entries for those of said end-users that disconnect

is well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the above section with Pistriotto because it would provide for reusage of system resources, there is no reason to keep the entries open longer once the user disconnects, to save resources, the connection

Application/Control Number: 09/654,857

Art Unit: 2154

typically times out and close itself out in order to conserve resources. Normally this operation is done an keep track through a timer.

Page 5

- 16. As per claims 8 and 13, claims 8 and 13 are rejected for the same reason as the rejection to claim 3 above.
- 17. Claims 5, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pistriotto et al. (hereinafter Pistriotto), US 6,138,162, in view of Banavar et al. (hereinafter Banavar), US 6,662,206.
- 18. As per claim 5, Pistriotto does not explicitly teach
 the step of informing said end-user of said client application that a server application is unavailable if a
 link to said application server is not established.
- 19. Banavar teaches the step of informing said end-user of said client application that a server application is unavailable if a link to said application server is not established (Col. 2, lines 27-40; Col. 1, lines 55-67; Col. 8, lines 1-10).
- 20. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention was made to combine the teaching of Pistriotto and Banavar because they both dealing with updating the status for a system through real time events occurring in the system. Furthermore, the teaching of Banavar to allow the step of informing said end-user of said client application that a server application is unavailable if a link to said application server is not established would improve the failure analysis for Pistriotto's system by monitoring the link in a real time basis to detect any potential link failures.
- As per claims 10 and 15, claims 10 and 15 are rejected for the same reason as the rejection to claim 5 above.

Art Unit: 2154

Conclusion

22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents and publications are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to "System and method for improving gateway transparency".

i.	US 2002/0059429	Carpenter et al.
ii.	US 6,529,937	Murphy, Jr. et al.
iii.	US 5,740,361	Brown.
iv.	US 6,058,480	Brown.
v.	US 6,078,943	Yu.
vi.	US 2003/0140153	Lawrence.
vii.	US 6,061,692	Thomas et al.
viii.	"Address Allocation for	Private Internets" RFC 1597, March 1994
ix.	US 6,477,577	Asano.
x.	US 5,699,350	Kraslavsky.
xi.	US 5,845,255	Mayaud.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chad Zhong whose telephone number is (703) 305-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on 703-305-9678. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2154

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

CZ August 19, 2004

> Dung C. Dinh Primary Examiner